Dental Anthropology

A Publication of the Dental Anthropology Association



Dental Anthropology

Volume 35, Issue 01, 2022

Dental Anthropology is the Official Publication of the Dental Anthropology Association.

Editor: Marin A. Pilloud

Editor Emeritus: G. Richard Scott

Editorial Board (2019-2022)

Heather J.H. Edgar Scott D. Haddow Jaime M. Ullinger Alistair R. Evans Nicholas P. Herrmann Cathy M. Willermet

Officers of the Dental Anthropology Association

Daniel Antoine (British Museum) President (2019-2022) Marin A. Pilloud (University of Nevada, Reno) President-Elect (2019-2022) Kathleen Paul (University of Arkansas) Secretary (2021-2024) Christina Nicholas (University of Illinois Chicago) Treasurer (2021-2024) Rebecca George (Western Carolina University) Executive Board Member (2021-2024) Diana Malarchik (California State University, Davis) Communications Officer (2021-2024) Emily Moes (University of New Mexico) Student Member (2019-2022) Heather J.H. Edgar (University of New Mexico) Past President (2019-2022)

Contact for Manuscripts

Dr. Marin A. Pilloud Department of Anthropology University of Nevada, Reno *E-mail address:* mpilloud@unr.edu Website: journal.dentalanthropology.org

Address for Book Reviews

Dr. Daniel H. Temple Department of Sociology and Anthropology George Mason University *E-mail address:* dtemple3@gmu.edu

Published at

University of Nevada, Reno Reno, Nevada 89557 The University of Nevada, Reno is an EEO/AA/Title IX/Section 504/ADA employer

Editorial Assistant Rebecca L. George

A Contextualized Enamel Growth Rate and Thickness Data Set **Collected from British Populations Spanning the Past 2,000 Years**

Christopher Aris^{1,2*}

¹ Human Osteology Lab, Skeletal Biology Research Centre, School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

² Department of Applied Science, Wrexham Glyndwr University, Wrexham, UK

Keywords: enamel thickness, daily secretion rates

ABSTRACT This article represents an open repository of human enamel data collected/reconstructed from seven populations covering a 2,000 year time period in Britain via five temporally distinct periods. In total, data were collected from 285 permanent teeth, including maxillary and mandibular first molars, and maxillary canines and first incisors. Data were gathered through thin histological methods using standard procedures for sectioning human dental material. In regards to enamel growth, data is collected for daily secretion rates (DSRs) for the inner, mid, and outer areas of lateral and cuspal enamel. For enamel thickness average (AET) and relative (RET) enamel thickness, cuspal linear thickness (CT), and lateral linear thickness (LT) was collected. Alongside the data presented, this article also provides clear and transparent explanations for all the methods involved in its production, in order to ensure understanding of the rigorous protocol and consistency associated with the data provided. The novel data is also contextualised with a compilation of equivalent data published in past articles.

mage, 2006; Mahoney, 2008; Aris et al., 2020a; thickness outside of 3D analyses (e.g., Kono, Suwa, and for DSRs include pre-averaged regional secre- Aris et al., 2020b). tion rates collected across the enamel cap (e.g., Aris et al., 2020b). The complexity of these features of scopic enamel features across tooth types, outside human enamel has allowed their subsequent anal- of a select few examples, large data sets for permaysis to be broad, but to date these have been inconsistent in coverage in terms of tooth type and enamel feature. For example, permanent molars have been widely analysed for their thickness (e.g., Schwartz, 2000; Suwa and Kono, 2005; Smith et al., 2006a, 2006b; Olejniczak, Smith, Feeney, Machiarelli, Mazurier, Bondioli, and Radovčić, 2008; Mahoney, 2010; Aris et al., 2020b) and cuspal DSRs (e.g., Beynon et al., 1991a; Lacruz and Bromage,

The microscopic study of modern human perma- 2006; Smith et al., 2007; Mahoney, 2008; Aris et al., nent enamel has commonly analysed both enamel 2020b). Conversely the study of permanent incisors thickness (e.g., Macho & Berner, 1993; Reid and and canines has seen very limited research for Dean, 2006; Suwa & Kono, 2005; Smith et al., 2006a, DSRs (FitzGerald, 1998; Reid, Benyon, and 2006b; Aris et al., 2020b) and daily secretion rates Ramirez Rozzi, 1998; Schwartz, Reid, and Dean, (DSRs; e.g., Beynon et al., 1991a; Lacruz & Bro- 2001; Aris et al., 2020a; Aris and Street, 2021) or for 2020b; Aris and Street, 2021). Both enamel thick- and Tanijiri, 2002; Kono and Suwa, 2008; Smith et ness and DSRs have multiple component parts re- al., 2012; Buti, LaCabec, Panetta, Tripodi, Salvaquired for their reconstruction and analysis. For dori, Hublin, and Benazzi, 2017). The study of latenamel thickness these include dentine area, enam- eral molar DSRs has been similarly limited el cap area, and enamel dentine junction length; (Beynon et al., 1991a; Lacruz and Bromage, 2006;

In addition to the disproportionate use of micro-

*Correspondence to: Christopher Aris University of Kent Wrexham Glyndwr University Email: christopher.aris@glyndwr.ac.uk 2020b; Aris and Street 2021).

of anterior teeth (e.g., Feeney, Zermeno, Reid, 2020). Nakashima, Sano, Bahar, and Smith, 2010; Smith et open access to relevant enamel thickness data.

nent enamel features have not been presented or scopic features of human enamel, there is a growmade openly accessible (e.g., Reid and Dean, 2006; ing trend in intraspecific analyses investigating Smith et al., 2006a). Furthermore, in the cases whether enamel growth and thickness has varied where developmental enamel variables are made within the human species over relatively short peavailable, outside of a few exceptions (e.g., Kono et riods of time. To date, these analyses have found al., 2002; Grine, 2004; Reid and Dean, 2006; Ma- significant variations in both enamel thickness and honey, 2010; Le Luyer et al., 2014; Buti et al., 2017), regional DSRs between geographically similar they are most frequently reported for single popu- populations differing in context by as little as 400 lations/samples. Moreover, such variables are also years (Aris et al., 2020a; 2020b). This varies from typically reported as averages for groups. Where older research in the field which frequently has individual-level enamel data has been reported, it either pooled dental samples for their growth and has only concerned enamel thickness, and one sin- thickness data, or just used a single sample populagle human sample (Kono et al., 2002; Skinner et al., tion, in order to create representative data sets for 2015; Lockey et al., 2020). These single human sam- geographic regions or the entire human species ples were also generated as a comparative sample (e.g., Beynon et al., 1991b; Lacruz and Bromage, for equivalent hominin/hominoid data analysis, 2006; Smith et al., 2007; Mahoney, 2008). While not rather than in direct analysis of human enamel all past research has pooled human populations growth/morphological patterns. There is therefore (e.g., Grine, 2004; Reid and Dean, 2006), the prevaa clear need for the further generation of develop- lence towards doing so has meant that more recent mental enamel variable data from multiple modern research looking into whether the pooling of samhuman populations, in order for intra-specific re- ples from different populations has been forced to search of human dentition to continue - a topic create completely new histological sample collecthat has seen a resurgence in recent years (e.g., Le tions to conduct their analyses. In these more re-Luyer, Rottier, and Bayle, 2014; Aris et al., 2020a, cent analyses the use of comparative data sets from the pooled representative samples are limited in Since the pioneering of enamel thickness re- their utility (e.g., Aris et al., 2020b). In addition, search involving human samples (e.g., Molnar and while the production of new samples is useful to Gantt, 1977; Martin, 1983, 1985), a great deal of re- the field of dental anthropology, its destructive search has involved 2D sections of teeth. An area nature should be considered and pre-existing mawhere enamel research has developed over time, terial used where possible and appropriate to help and also been less restrictive, is within 3D analysis preserve dental remains wherever possible (Aris,

This article aims to address the above issues by al., 2012; Buti et al., 2017) and molars (e.g., Kono- providing a large and freely accessible data set for Takeuchi, Suwa, Kanazawa, and Tanijiri, 1997; researchers to use in analysis of both enamel thick-Kono et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2006a; Kono and Su- ness and enamel growth, via DSR measures across wa, 2008). These 3D-based studies have involved multiple tooth types and for multiple (five) modinter-species hominin analyses, as well as also de- ern human populations, further presented alongveloping the methodological approaches for intra- side a guide for pre-existing equivalent data. The specific human enamel thickness analysis. Moreo- novel data sets will compromise individual-level ver, genetic analyses have also begun to emerge data for individuals, as well as regional-level within the ongoing research of human enamel, enamel measurements. Data of this type to date which have made substantial strides to explaining has not been reported or made available to this inter-species enamel thickness differences within degree, with particular limitations existing regardthe human phylogeny (e.g., Horvath et al., 2014, ing enamel growth data. The aim is that through Ungar and Hlusko, 2016). While possessing a clear this level of accessibility to this detailed a level of utility, these lines of research do not directly or data, future research can more easily branch into fully address all the issues discussed so far. They the less well-covered features of enamel in current do however help show the importance of contin-literature, and that further intraspecific research on ued and nuanced research of human enamel. This modern humans can be conducted more easily. therefore highlights the utility of providing more This will also represent the first data set regarding anterior tooth type enamel thickness accessible in Alongside the areas of inequality in research this way. Finally, it is hoped that the open access coverage and data availability regarding micro- publication of this data will help expand the analyto institutions unable to directly support histologi- Table 1). All archaeological samples came from cal and/or micro-CT methods.

Material and Methods

Dental sample

To produce this repository, histological analysis was conducted on 285 permanent teeth collected day samples. Details on known-sex/sex estimafrom seven populations across five temporally distinct periods: Roman (70-400AD), Early Pre- sets, but a summary of the number of male and Medieval (500-600AD), Late Pre-Medieval (800- female individuals identified for each tooth type 1200AD), Medieval (1000-1600), and modern-day and population in provided in Table 2.

sis of enamel data gathered at the microscopic level clinical material (extracted within the last 20 years; British excavations and modern-day samples compromised teeth extracted from England and Southern Scotland. Sex was estimated where possible from skeletal elements of the archaeological individuals and known for a number of the moderntions are listed at the individual-level in the data

Table 1. Descriptive information of dental samples for each population and tooth type.

	_	_		Number of teeth sampled		
Population	Date	Location	Collection Name	Upper incisors	Upper Canines	First Molars
All com-	70-~2000AD	England and	N/A	81	69	115
Roman	70-400AD	Cirencester, Gloucester	St James' Place/ Bath Gate	10	11	11
Early Pre- Medieval	500-600AD	Ramsgate, Kent	Ozengell	22	20	20
Late Pre- Medieval	800-1200AD	Newcastle-Upon- Tyne, Northumberland	Black Gate	10	10	21
Medieval	1100-1500AD	Canterbury, Kent	St Gregory's Priory	19	8	43
	1000-1600AD	York, North Yorkshire	Fishergate House	8	8	5
Modern- day	Extracted within the last 20 years	Newcastle-Upon- Tyne and Glas- gow	UCL/Kent	12	12	15

Table 2. Descriptive information regarding the number of individuals across populations and tooth types with known-sex/sex estimations.

	Number of male individuals Number of			of female individuals			
Population	Upper	Upper	First	Upper	Upper	First	
	incisors	canines	molars	incisors	canines	molars	
Known sex							
Modern-day	5	9	4	7	3	5	
	E	Estimated se	ex				
Early Pre-Medieval	5	4	2	6	7	7	
Late Pre-Medieval	1	5	0	1	2	1	
Medieval	3	4	1	3	3	0	
Roman	2	5	6	3	4	1	

When worn, only teeth with approximately 80% of to an individual's personal identity) the only infortheir crown height remaining were selected based mation available was the biological sex and town/ on criteria outlined by Guatelli-Steinberg and col- city location from which the individual had the leagues (2005), and when wear was present no data tooth sampled extracted. relating to the cuspal region of the enamel cap was collected (Figure 1). One tooth was taken from each Roman samples individual during the sampling process, following The Roman samples were from two similarly locatin instances where the left was missing, poorly pre- from the River Severn (McWhirr et al., 1982). served, or heavily damaged (data files note whether left or right were analysed). Selection preference Early Pre-Medieval samples was also given to individuals presenting an anti- The Early Pre-Medieval samples came from a site mere to the tooth being selected for sectioning.

across all populations from which samples were to have been small and coastal, with regular access taken. In the case of the archaeological collections this was due to individual records not existing for English Channel (Millard et at al., 1969). The Preany of the individuals of any of the populations Medieval period in Thanet is associated with newstudied. For the modern-day individuals, due to ly developing urban areas following Roman occu-GDPR data laws (specifically those limiting the pation (McKinley et al., 2015).

Unworn teeth were selected where possible. storage and dissemination of special data relating

the guidelines for destructive sampling of human ed sites in Cirencester: St James' Place and Bath remains guideline outlined by Mays and col- Gate cemeteries (Figure 2). Both sites dated beleagues (2013) and on request from the institutions tween 70-400AD (see Table 1), presented archaeowhich curated the dental material utilised (see logical material consistent with Roman-British acknowledgements). Left teeth were utilised wher- populations, and are thought to have been small ever possible, with the right tooth only being used urban populations with access to marine resources

in Thanet, Ozengell Grange (see Figure 2), dated to Ancestry was unknown for all individuals 500-600AD (see Table 1). The population is thought to marine resources from the North Sea and/or the



Figure 1. Cross sections displaying examples of worn and unworn teeth analysed. The left cross section, a Medieval upper first incisor, displays no occlusal wear. The right cross section, a Roman upper first incisor, displays occlusal wear and thus no data requiring the cuspal region was collected from it.



Figure 2. Map of the United-Kingdom and Northern Ireland displaying the geographic location where the archaeological samples were excavated/modern-day samples were extracted. Shapes denote the samples geographic origin, colour the time period they associated with (multi coloured shapes thereby meaning individuals from more than one time period originated from the same location): Roman = Blue, Early Pre-Medieval = Red, Late Pre-Medieval = Orange, Medieval = Purple, Modern-day = Green. Similar guides to these populations' context can be found in articles by Aris and colleagues (2020a, 2020b).

Late Pre-Medieval samples

The Late Pre-Medieval sample came from the Black The modern-day samples came from the UCL/ (Swales, 2012).

Medieval samples

The Medieval samples come from two sites: St ject ID: 203541). Gregory's Priory, Canterbury, and Fishergate House, York (see Figure 2). The sites were dated Sample Preparation between 1100-1500AD (Hicks and Hicks, 2001) and Before any tooth was sectioned as a part of produc-1000-1600AD (Holst, 2001) respectively (see Table ing the data set, resin casts were produced for each 1). Both are documented to have been large urban incisor, canine and molar using standard methods populations (Hicks and Hicks, 2001; Holst, 2001).

Modern-day samples

Gate Cemetery site in Newcastle-Upon-Tyne (see Kent collection, a repository of teeth collected from Figure 2), dated to 800-1200AD (see Table 1). This dental surgeries in northern England (Newcastlewas a large urban population with access to ma- Upon-Tyne) and southern Scotland (Glasgow) (see rine resources through proximity to the River Tyne Figure 2). Ethical approval for histology research on this collection of teeth was obtained from the United Kingdom National Health Service research ethics committee (REC reference: 16/SC/0166; pro-

(Aris, 2020). Producing casts in this way allows for

the reproduction of the surface morphology of polarised light microscopy (Olympus BX53 Upto analyse features not within the data such, such conducted as crown morphology, microwear, and enamel sur- (cellSens). face features including perikymata and linear enamel hypoplasia.

thick. Ground samples were then polished using (Figure 4). 0.3µm aluminium oxide powder to remove any evidence of lapping. Polished samples were then Measurements Taken placed within an ultrasonic bath for a two-minute Daily secretion rates period in order to remove any micro-debris before Daily secretion rates were reconstructed using medium (DPX®). All sections were examined using mined by dividing the length of the associated

dental crown, thus allowing for future researchers right Microscope). Analysis and image capture was using micro imaging software

Due to the requirements for cuts to be made precisely through the cusp and dentine horn apex Thin sections were produced using standard in order for enamel growth and thickness data colhistological procedures (e.g., Mahoney, 2008; Aris, lected to be reliable (Aris et al., 2020b), lines were 2020). All teeth were first embedded in an epoxy marked on the tooth to help guide the initial cutresin and hardener mixture (Buehler®) in order to ting of the teeth for each tooth type (Figure 3). minimise the possibility of teeth fracturing during Whether this method was successful was assessed the sectioning process. Embedded samples were by observing the shape of the dentine horn of each then cut at low speeds using a diamond-edged wa- cross section - a sharp point (with a V-shaped apfering blade (Buehler® IsoMet 1000 Precision Cut- pearance; Smith et al., 2006a) at the apex denotes ter) through the apex of crown cusps at a longitu- and precise cut; a curved/rounded apex a misadinal angle. Samples were then mounted on glass ligned oblique cut (Reid and Guatelli-Steinberg, microscope slides and subsequently lapped using 2017). Where oblique cuts were noted the associatgrinding pads (Buehler®) until around 120µm ed sections were not used for data collection

being dehydrated using 90% and 100% ethanol- standard methods for the inner, mid, and outer based solutions (Fisher scientific®). Dehydrated regions of the lateral and cuspal enamel areas of sections were finally cleared using Histoclear® and each tooth (e.g., Beynon et al., 1991a; Mahoney, mounted with a glass cover slip using a mounting 2008; Schwartz et al., 2001). Regions were deter-



Figure 3. Diagram of how marks were made on upper first incisors, upper canines, and first molars (left to right respectively) before cutting to create a line through the cusp apex and dentine horn. The dashed red line displays the line created by the marks made at the blue crosses. Note the lack of blue cross on the unaligned root apex of the upper canine. The teeth displayed all came from the Fishergate House Medieval collection



Figure 4. Cross sections displaying aligned and misaligned cuts, both observed through the shape of the dentine horn (highlighted with dashed red lines). The left cross section, a Medieval upper first incisor, displays an aligned cut with sharp pointed, V-shaped, dentine horn apex. The right cross section, a Roman first molar, displays a misaligned cut with a rounded dentine horn.

enamel area into three equal parts along the length *Enamel thickness* of enamel prisms. Cuspal enamel regions were de- For each tooth, four 2D measures of enamel thicktermined within the appositional enamel of each ness were calculated: cuspal thickness (CT), lateral tooth, and DSRs were taken from the mesial cusps thickness (LT), relative enamel thickness (RET), of molar teeth. Lateral enamel regions were deter- and average enamel thickness (AET). Each was mined within the area of imbricational enamel measured and calculated using a composite image equidistant between the dentine horn and the den- produced by stitching together 20x magnified imtal cervix. Lateral DSRs were taken from the buccal ages using cellSens digital software. -mesial cusps of molar teeth, and from the labial enamel of canine and incisor teeth.

made for the length of five adjacent cross striations enamel cross section. AET (mm) is calculated by prism. This measurement was then divided by five (enamel dentine junction) (Martin, 1983). RET is to give a mean daily rate of secretion $(\mu m/day)$. then calculated by dividing the associated AET by grand mean and standard deviation for each re- surrounding EDJ and bi-cervical diameter (e.g., gion of each tooth. Cross striation measurements Smith et al., 2006a; Olenjniczak et al., 2008; Figure were all taken at between 20x and 40x magnifica- 6). tion. Figure 5 illustrates how cuspal and lateral counted along enamel prisms.

RET is a dimensionless index and free-scale derivative of the average enamel thickness (AET) For each region an initial measurement was which encompasses the entire 2D surface area of an following the long axis of an appropriate enamel dividing the surface area by the length of the EDJ This process was repeated five times to give a the square root of the dentine surface area of the

Cuspal thickness was taken from the buccalregions were split and how cross striations were mesial cups of the molars and primary cusp of the incisors and canines. Lateral thickness was also



Figure 5. Diagrams of incisor (top left), canine (top right), and molar (bottom) cross sections with inner, mid, and outer regions for cuspal and lateral enamel regions isolated for DSR analysis. White squares show these enamel regions. The black square shows a 40x magnified superimposition of the mid lateral molar enamel. Black arrows indicate individual cross striations.



Figure 6. Cross-sectional images and reconstructions of 2D enamel thickness measures taken for molars (left) and canines and incisors (right). C. the enamel cap area and B. the dentine encompassed by the enamel and bi-cervical diameter (double-headed arrow). The area of C. was divided by the length of the EDJ (marked by dotted red line) to give the average enamel thickness (AET) in mm. The AET is divided by the square root of the area of **B** and multiplied by 100 to give the relative enamel thickness (RET) (e.g. Martin, 1983), which is a dimensionless index. The dotted white lines (CT) illustrate the cuspal enamel thickness measurements (e.g. Beynon and Wood, 1986). The dashed white lines (LT) illustrate lateral enamel thickness measurements (e.g. Grine and Martin, 1988). Similar guides to taking these measures can also be found in an article by Aris and colleagues (2020b).

taken from the mesial cusps of the molars, and piling this data it is easier to identify where the tween the EDJ and the enamel surface along a line source where possible (Table 3). perpendicular to the EDJ. The location of this line Note: Articles using similar data which have been in contact with the outer enamel surface (see dot- (Aris et al., 2020a, 2020b; Aris and Street, 2021). ted lines of Figure 1). These two linear measurements have been presented in past studies under Conclusions different abbreviations (Beynon and Wood, 1986; Data utility Grine, 2005; Hlusko et al., 2004; Mahoney, 2010; The combined data sets presented here represent Schwartz, 2000a, 2000b).

Pre-existing data

articles were compiled with details as to the enam- allow for future research to have wider accessibilthe novel data generated for this project. By com- enamel involving human samples. Moreover, it is

from the labial region of incisor and canine enamel. novel data presented here fills temporal and/or Cuspal thickness (mm) was calculated by measur- geographical gaps in existing data, and thus where ing the distance between the apex of the dentine gaps also persist. Where the same data has been horn and the cusp tip. Lateral thickness (mm) was utilised in multiple published works only one is calculated by measuring the maximum length be- detailed; preference was given to the original

determined within the area of the tooth between published to date but are not included are those the dental cervix and the first Retzius line to form which utilised the data sets provided in this article

the largest data repository of its kind in relation to developmental variables of human enamel in both archaeological and modern contexts. Moreover, it Equivalent data to that which is provided in this holds particular value in being the only such data article, regarding enamel secretion rates and thick- set available which lists individual-level data for ness, has been routinely published in studies re- enamel growth and thickness for multiple tooth garding human enamel. A large number of those types and multiple different populations. This will el variables analysed and context information of ity to comparative data for both intra- and interrelevant human samples, in order to contextualise species and population analyses of permanent

Table 3. Existing published data for enamel DSRs and relevant thickness measures detailed with temporal and geographic contexts, tooth type information, and level at which data is available.

Source	Location	Time Period	Tooth Types	Ν	Data collected		Data level	
					Cuspal DSRs	Lateral DSRs	presented at	
	TT 1	TT 1	DSR dat	a				
Beynon et al., 1991b	Unknown	Unknown	Molars	11-15	X	X	Species	
Lacruz and	Unknown	Unknown	Molars	10	Х	Х	Species	
Bromage, 2006								
Smith et al., 2007	Various	Various	First molars	21	Х		Species	
Smith et al., 2009	Germany	Modern-day	Third molars	7	Х		Species	
Mahoney, 2008	England and Scot- land	Bronze-Age	First molars	13	Х		Population	
Schwartz et al., 2001	England and South Africa	Modern-day	Canines	28	Х	Х	Sex	
	countraincu		Thickness of	data				
Source	Location	Time Period	Tooth Types	Ν	RET CT	LT	Data level presented at	
Smith et al.,	Various	Middle Stone	Molars	1-55	Х		Population	
2006a and D ^a Age and Modern-day								
Olejniczak et	Various and un-	Various and	First molars	1-6	Х		Species	
Lockey, 2020	Unknown	Unknown	Molars	9-10	Х		Individual	
Martin, 1983	Unknown	Unknown	Molars	13	Х		Species	
Skinner et al., 2015	Various	Unknown	Molars	8-15	Х		Individual	
Smith et al., 2009	Germany	Modern-day	Third molars	8	Х		Species	
Smith et al., 2008	Various	Various	Various	12-58	Х		Species	
Sorenti et al., 2019	Madrid, Spain	20th Century	Molars	20-31	Х		Sex	
Kono, 2004*	Asia	Various	Molars	40-41	Х	Х	Population	
Grine, 1991	Unknown	Unknown	First molars	10	Х	Х	Species	
Grine, 2004	Various	Modern-day	Second mo- lars	1-23	Х	Х	Population	
Reid and Dean, 2006	Various	Various	Various	15-37	Х		Population	
Gantt and Rafter, 1998	Unknown	Unknown	Molars	3-23	Х	Х	Species	
Mahoney, 2010	England and Scot- land	Various	Molars	69	Х	Х	Population	
Suwa and Kono, 2005*	Ohio, USA	800-1100AD	First molars	31-37	Х	Х	Population	
Kono et al., 2002*	Asia	Unknown	First molars	5	Х	Х	Individual	
Macho and Berner, 1993	Zwentendorf, Austria	1100AD	First molars	21		Х	Population	
Saunders et	Belleville, Canada	1821-1874AD	Canines and	72	Х		Sex	
Feeney et al., 2010*	Indonesia	Modern-day	Canines	7-21	Х		Population	
Buti et al., 2017*	Various	Medieval and Clinical	Canines	1-13	Х		Population	
2017	2017 [*] and Clinical							

*Some or all data generated within a 3D context

hoped that the compilation of similar data available in past research publications here will assist in researchers locating suitable comparative data regarding enamel growth and thickness data in addi- Aris, C., & Street, E. (2021). Growth rates of accestion to that provided here.

For specific examples of the data's utility, all articles which have utilised any data presented here compromise the work of Aris (2020), Aris and col- Beynon, A. D., Dean, M. C., & Reid, D. J. (1991a). leagues (2020a, 2020b), and Aris and Street (2021). Throughout these articles, all content here including enamel DSRs, enamel thickness, and methodological approaches, are used in specific research projects.

Data ethics and acknowledgements

Data of the kind presented here is collected via destructive methods, which has a permanent impact Beynon, A. D., & Wood, B. A. (1986). Variations in on the collections analysed, and thereby their curating institutions. As a result, while this data is publicly available for use in future research, it is recommended such strongly that acknowledge both the generosity and ethical stringency of the curators acknowledged in this article. Moreover, further care must be taken when utilising the modern-day data from the UCL/Kent collection. Not only should the University of Kent be Feeney, R. N., Zermeno, J. P., Reid, D. J., acknowledged, but it should be detailed that the ethical approval for histological research on this collection of teeth was obtained from the United Kingdom National Health Service research ethics committee. Furthermore, the REC reference: 16/ SC/0166; project ID: 203541, should also be noted (as it has been here in section 2.1.5).

Acknowledgements

Thanks go to the Corinium Museum, Trust for Gantt, D. G., & Rafter, J. A. (1998). Evolutionary Thanet Archaeology, and the Universities of Durham, Kent, and Sheffield for granting permission to sample the teeth sectioned as a part of developing this repository. Thanks also go to the two Grine, F. E. (1991). Computed tomography and the anonymous reviewers and editor for their positive feedback and comments which helped greatly improve this article.

REFERENCES

- Aris, C. (2020). The histological paradox: Methodology and efficacy of dental sectioning. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology, 29(1), 1-16.
- Aris, C., Mahoney, P., & Deter, C. (2020a). Enamel thickness and growth rates in modern human permanent first molars over a 2000 year period in Britain. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 173(1), 141-157.
- Aris, C., Mahoney, P., O'Hara, M. C., & Deter, C. (2020b). Enamel growth rates of anterior teeth

in males and females from modern and ancient British populations. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 173(2), 236-249.

- sory human enamel: a histological case study of a modern-day incisor from Northern England. Dental Anthropology Journal, 34(1), 3-12.
- Histological study on the chronology of the developing dentition in gorilla and orangutan. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 86(2), 189-203.
- Beynon, A. D., Dean, M. C., & Reid, D. J. (1991b). On thick and thin enamel in hominoids. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 86(2), 295-309.
- enamel thickness and structure in East African hominids. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 70(2), 177-193.
- research Buti, L., Le Cabec, A., Panetta, D., Tripodi, M., Salvadori, P. A., Hublin, J. J., & Benazzi, S. (2017). 3D enamel thickness in Neandertal and modern human permanent canines. Journal of Human Evolution, 113, 162-172.
 - Nakashima, S., Sano, H., Bahar, A., & Smith, T. M. (2010). Enamel thickness in Asian human canines and premolars. Anthropological Science, 118(3), 191-198.
 - FitzGerald, C. M. (1998). Do enamel microstructures have regular time dependency? Conclusions from the literature and a large-scale study. Journal of Human Evolution, 35(4-5), 371-386.
 - and functional significance of hominoid tooth enamel. Connective Tissue Research, 39(1-3), 195-206.
 - measurement of enamel thickness in extant hominoids: implications for its palaeontological application. Palaeontologica Africana, 28(1), 61-69.
 - Grine, F. E. (2004). Geographic variation in human tooth enamel thickness does not support Neandertal involvement in the ancestry of modern Europeans. South African Journal of Science, 100 (7), 389-394.
 - Grine, F. E. (2005). Enamel thickness of deciduous and permanent molars in modern Homo sapiens. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 126(1), 14-31.
 - Grine, F.E. and Martin, L.B. (1988). Enamel thickness and development in Australopithecus and

Paranthropus. In: Grine, F.E. (Ed.), *Evolutionary History of the* "*Robust*" *Australopithecines* (pp. 3-42). New York, Aldine de Gruyter.

- Guatelli-Steinberg, D., Reid, D. J., Bishop, T. A., & Larsen, C. S. (2005). Anterior tooth growth periods in Neandertals were comparable to those of modern humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(40), 14197-14202.
- Hicks, M., & Hicks, A. (2001). St Gregory's Priory, Northgate, Canterbury: Excavations 1988-1991 (Vol. 2). Canterbury Archaeological Trust Limited.
- Hlusko, L. J., Suwa, G., Kono, R. T., & Mahaney, M. C. (2004). Genetics and the evolution of primate enamel thickness: a baboon model. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 124(3), 223-233.
- Horvath, J. E., Ramachandran, G. L., Fedrigo, O., Nielsen, W. J., Babbitt, C. C., Clair, E. M. S., & Wall, C. E. (2014). Genetic comparisons yield insight into the evolution of enamel thickness during human evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 73, 75-87.
- Kono, R. T. (2004). Molar enamel thickness and distribution patterns in extant great apes and humans: new insights based on a 3dimensional whole crown perspective. *Anthropological Science*, 112(2), 121-146.
- Kono, R. T., & Suwa, G. (2008). Enamel distribution patterns of extant human and hominoid molars: occlusal versus lateral enamel thickness. Bulletin of the National Science Museum, Tokyo, Series D, 34, 1-9.
- Kono, R. T., Suwa, G., & Tanijiri, T. (2002). A threedimensional analysis of enamel distribution patterns in human permanent first molars. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 47(12), 867-875.
- Kono-Takeuchi, R., Suwa, G., Kanazawa, E., & Tanijiri, T. (1997). A new method of evaluating enamel thickness based on a three-dimensional measuring system. *Anthropological Science*, 105 (4), 217-229.
- Lacruz, R. S., & Bromage, T. G. (2006). Appositional enamel growth in molars of South African fossil hominids. *Journal of Anatomy*, 209(1), 13-20.
- Le Luyer, M., Rottier, S., & Bayle, P. (2014). Brief communication: Comparative patterns of enamel thickness topography and oblique molar wear in two early Neolithic and medieval population samples. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 155(1), 162-172.
- Lockey, A. L, Alemseged Z, Hublin J. J, Skinner M. M. (2020). Maxillary molar enamel thickness of

Plio-Pleistocene hominins. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 142(1), 102731.

Macho, G. A., & Berner, M. E. (1993). Enamel thickness of human maxillary molars reconsidered. *American Journal of Physical Anthropolo*gy, 92(2), 189-200.

Mahoney, P. (2008). Intraspecific variation in M1 enamel development in modern humans: implications for human evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 55(1), 131-147.

Mahoney, P. (2010). Two-dimensional patterns of human enamel thickness on deciduous (dm1, dm2) and permanent first (M1) mandibular molars. *Archives of Oral Biology*, *55*(2), 115-126.

Martin, L. B. (1983). *The Relationships of the Later Miocene Hominoidea* (Doctoral dissertation, University of London).

Martin, L.B., (1985). Significance of enamel thickness in hominoid evolution. *Nature*, *314*, 260–263.

Mays, S., Elders, J., Humphrey, L., White, W., & Marshall, P. (2013). *Science and the dead: a guideline for the destructive sampling of archaeological human remains for scientific analysis*. English Heritage Publishing with the Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England.

McKinley, J. I., Leivers, M., Schuster, J., & Marshall, P. (2015). Cliffs End Farm Isle of Thanet, Kent: A mortuary and ritual site of the Bronze Age, Iron Age and Anglo-Saxon period with evidence for long -distance maritime mobility. Wessex Archaeology.

Millard, L., Jarman, S., & Hawkes, S. C. (1969). Anglo-Saxon Burials Near the Lord of the Manor, Ramsgate: New Light on the Site of Ozengell? Headley Bros.

Molnar, S., & Gantt, D. G. (1977). Functional implications of primate enamel thickness. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 46(3), 447-454.

Olejniczak, A. J., Smith, T. M., Feeney, R. N., Macchiarelli, R., Mazurier, A., Bondioli, L., & Radovčić, J. (2008). Dental tissue proportions and enamel thickness in Neandertal and modern human molars. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 55 (1), 12-23.

Reid, D. J., Beynon, A. D., & Ramirez Rozzi, F. V. (1998). Histological reconstruction of dental development in four individuals from a medieval site in Picardie, France. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 35(4-5), 463-477.

McWhirr, A., Viner, L., & Wells, C. (1982). Romano -British Cemeteries at Cirencester: Cirencester Excavations II. *Cirencester Excavation Committee. Cirencester*.

- Reid, D. J., & Dean, M. C. (2006). Variation in modern human enamel formation times. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 50(3), 329-346.
- Reid, D. J., & Guatelli-Steinberg, D. (2017). Updating histological data on crown initiation and crown completion ages in southern Africans. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 162(4), 817-829.
- Saunders, S. R., Chan, A. H., Kahlon, B., Kluge, H. F., & FitzGerald, C. M. (2007). Sexual dimorphism of the dental tissues in human permanent mandibular canines and third premolars. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 133(1), 735-740.
- Schwartz, G. T. (2000a). Enamel thickness and the helicoidal wear plane in modern human mandibular molars. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 45(5), 401-409.
- Schwartz, G. T. (2000b). Taxonomic and functional aspects of the patterning of enamel thickness distribution in extant large-bodied hominoids. *American Journal of Physical Anthropolo*gy, 111(2), 221-244.
- Schwartz, G. T., Reid, D. J., & Dean, C. (2001). Developmental aspects of sexual dimorphism in hominoid canines. *International Journal of Primatology*, 22(5), 837-860.
- Skinner M. M, Alemseged Z, Gaunitz C, Hublin J. J. (2015). Enamel thickness trends in Plio-Pleistocene hominin mandibular molars. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 8(1), 35-45.
- Smith, T. M., Harvati, K., Olejniczak, A. J., Reid, D. J., Hublin, J. J., & Panagopoulou, E. (2009). Brief communication: dental development and enamel thickness in the Lakonis Neanderthal molar. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 138(1), 112-118.
- Smith, T. M., Olejniczak, A. J., Reh, S., Reid, D. J., & Hublin, J. J. (2008). Brief communication: enamel thickness trends in the dental arcade of humans and chimpanzees. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 136(2), 237-241.
- Smith, T. M., Olejniczak, A. J., Reid, D. J., Ferrell, R. J., & Hublin, J. J. (2006a). Modern human molar enamel thickness and enamel-dentine junction shape. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 51(11), 974-995
- Smith, T. M., Olejniczak, A. J., Tafforeau, P., Reid, D. J., Grine, F. E., & Hublin, J. J. (2006b). Molar crown thickness, volume, and development in South African Middle Stone Age humans. *South African Journal of Science*, 102(11), 513-517.
- Smith, T. M., Olejniczak, A. J., Zermeno, J. P., Tafforeau, P., Skinner, M. M., Hoffmann, A., &

Hublin, J. J. (2012). Variation in enamel thickness within the genus Homo. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 62(3), 395-411.

- Smith, T. M., Reid, D. J., Dean, M. C., Olejniczak, A. J., & Martin, L. B. (2007). New perspectives on chimpanzee and human molar crown development. In S. E. Bailey & J. J. Hublin (Eds.), *Dental perspectives on human evolution: state of the art research in dental paleoanthropology* (pp.177-192). Berlin: Springer.
- Sorenti, M., Martinón-Torres, M., Martín-Francés, L., & Perea-Pérez, B. (2019). Sexual dimorphism of dental tissues in modern human mandibular molars. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 169(2), 332-340.
- Suwa, G., & Kono, R. T. (2005). A micro-CT based study of linear enamel thickness in the mesial cusp section of human molars: reevaluation of methodology and assessment of within-tooth, serial, and individual variation. *Anthropological Science*, 113(3), 273-289.
- Swales, D. L. M. (2012). *Life Stress: A Bio-cultural Investigation into the Later Anglo-Saxon Population of the Black Gate Cemetery* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sheffield).
- Ungar, P. S., & Hlusko, L. J. (2016). The evolutionary path of least resistance. *Science*, *353*(6294), 29-30.

Assessing Error in Human Dental Measurements: A Comparison of Resin Casts, Plaster Casts, and Dental Enamel

Amelia R. Hubbard^{1, 2*}, Natasha Wilson², Giuseppe Vercellotti³

¹ Anthrotech, Inc.; Yellow Springs, Ohio

² Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Wright State University

³ Department of Anthropology, The Ohio State University

Keywords: methods, dental anthropology, dental casts, measurement error

ABSTRACT Technical advances in 3D morphometrics and other forms of digital analysis allow for detailed measurements of dental metrics yet, consistently, dental anthropologists show a publishing preference for measurements using dental calipers. For many researchers, dental casts are often measured when field seasons or collections-based trips do not allow ample time to measure the original teeth. As such, this study aimed to assess differences among measurements of plaster casts, resin casts, and dental enamel to determine if variables such as material softness or shrinkage could lead to measurement error. Results of a paired t-test demonstrate no statistically significant difference in buccolingual crown measurements from 23 commingled canines and first molars. Likewise, while plaster casts exhibited overall smaller mean (and individual) measurements than enamel and resin, the differences (around 0.04 mm on average) are negligible. We, therefore, conclude that casts can be used in place of original teeth, where needed, and which material type is "best" can be determined by the researcher's preferred medium.

Often circumstances do not allow for the long-term use of skeletal material for research purposes (e.g., repatriation and reburial, length of research visit, etc.). In such cases, ongoing availability of materials, through the production of dental impressions (negative replica of the teeth) and dental casts (lifelike reproductions from impressions) is more practical. However, in order to collect accurate measurement data, differences in error rates between casted dentition and the original teeth must be statistically insignificant so that the results of the study are not impacted. As such it is imperative that a researcher knows whether dental replicas consistently over or underestimate dental dimensions compared to dental enamel, and whether there are significant differences in measurements between casting mediums. Similarly, it is crucial to know whether the tools used to measure replicas impact precision.

The dimensional stability of the materials used to make dental impressions and dental casts has been widely studied. Dental alginates (irreversible hydrocolloid compounds) have long been favored as an impression material in clinical settings for their low cost. This material is not often used by dental anthropologists because it does not have long-term dimensional stability requiring casts to be made within a few hours or a single day (e.g., Sedda, Casarotto, Raustia, and Borracchini, 2008). In skeletally based dentitions there is also a higher chance that teeth will be pulled from the jaw given the density of the material and need for a dental tray to hold the alginate. Within dental anthropology, the use of polyvinyl siloxane replica materials (PVS) has become more common because it is gentler on skeletal dentitions and multiple casts can be made from the same impression as needed, due to long-term dimensional stability (e.g., Chee and

*Correspondence to: Amelia Hubbard Anthrotech, Inc. E-mail: amy@anthrotech.net Donovan, 1992) as long as temperatures do not fluctuate wildly (Kelso, Hulsey, and Driscoll, 2020). Casting material such as gypsum plaster (plaster) are popular when dental morphometrics are the focus, though epoxy resins (resin) have gained popularity among dental anthropologists due to the higher resolution of surface features such as linear enamel hypoplasia and macrowear/ microwear features. A recent study of commonly used resin and plaster materials found that there was no difference in measured size between epoxy resins and dental stone, though the study measured differences using a Scanning Electron Microscope (Junior, Kreve, and Carvalho, 2018).

While dental anthropologists can measure casts using a variety of tools ranging from calipers to 3D scans (e.g., Al-Mulla and Murad, 2010; Bowes, Dear, Close, and Freer, 2017; Keating, Knox, Bibb, and Zhurov, 2008; Kumar, Phillip, Kumar, Rawar, Priya, Kumaran, 2015; Reuschl, Wieland, Stiesch, Wenzel, and Dittmer, 2016), calipers are still widely used across different field and lab settings. In measurements using calipers material surface hardness is a primary concern as the metal tips must be tightly fit to the surface of the replica and have the potential to leave impressions or scratches on soft surfaces. The most commonly used caliper for measuring dental dimensions is the Hillson-Fitzgerald caliper (Hillson, FitzGerald, and Finn, 2005). These calipers are made of a durable metal with thin needle point tips for better fit in interproximal spaces of in-situ dentitions (i.e., teeth implanted in the jaw). Of the three dental surfaces, enamel is the hardest and should be unaffected by the adjustment of the metal calipers. Resin is also a durable material that is unlikely to yield surface stability, but still softer than dental enamel. In contrast, plaster is soft and can be more easily depressed if the calipers are fit too tightly, though visible inspection of a plaster cast can clarify if the surface is damaged (i.e., a small depression would be readily visible).

When previous studies are analyzed to ascertain answers to the questions posed in our study, differences in approach, temporal changes in the quality of impression and casting products, and emergent technologies for measuring dentitions make comparisons problematic. For example, early studies such as Hunter and Priest (1960) measured dental stone casts taken from alginate impressions and enamel (intra-orally in living patients) using a Helios-style caliper (i.e., no needle tips). Later studies such as Pant, Juszczyk, Clark, and Radford (2008) use PVS impressions materials to make plas-

ter casts to compare with both 3D printed resins (measured by a scanning electron microscope) and digital scans (measured using computer software). Further, the conditions in which impressions are taken can have quite an impact. For example, Hollinger, Lorton, Krantz, and Connelly (1984) found "material distortion and shrinkage is unpredictable" (308) in impressions of edentulous individuals and highly impacted by the preparation of the dental tray while Pant et al. (2008) found that temperature differences impacted the "architectural stability" of PVS. Studies such as Kelso et al. (2020) demonstrate additional concerns about the impacts of temperatures on long-term storage of materials.

This study examines the two most common casting materials (epoxy resin and dental stone) measured using the most common field and lab instrument (Hillson-Fitzgerald calipers) to assess differences in buccolingual dimensions. Assuming careful measurements of each replica's surface (e.g., checking that the calipers did not leave a visible surface indentation) and demonstrated low surface shrinkage rates of the materials measured, we predicted that buccolingual dimensions of both the plaster and resin casts would not be significantly different than the original dental enamel. However, given the softness of plaster casts we predicted that measurements would consistently register as smaller than either resin or plaster.

Materials and Methods

The specimens used in this study were collected from an ossuary located in Chiavari, Italy in the region of Liguria. The ossuary contains the remains of several hundred individuals who were removed from mausoleums and placed in a secondary burial pit as sanctioned by Italian law (DPR n.285/1990). With the exception of a limited number of individuals with identifying materials, the remains deposited in the ossuary are commingled and no contextual information is readily available. Based on cemetery records, the majority of the remains belong to individuals who lived in Chiavari and its hinterland between the late nineteenth and early twentieth century.

Twenty-three canine and first molar crowns were measured following Hillson et al. (2005). Dental impressions were made using a highresolution polyvinyl siloxane compound (Affinis), preferred by dental anthropologists because of its dimensional stability. These dentition were selected based on larger samples of preserved teeth and, thus, represent a convenience sample. Casts were made of gypsum plaster (Dentstone) and an epoxy



Figure 1: Plaster (left) and resin (right) casts of a first molar.

resin (Epofix) (Figure 1). The company Modern Materials produces Dentstone and reports a 0.11 percent "Expansion" rate of materials. The company Struers produces Epofix and claims a 0.3 percent shrinkage rate. Dental dimensions were recorded by a single researcher to eliminate interobserver error using Paleo-Tech's digital Hillson-Fitzergerald calipers (Hillson et al., 2005), which automatically import measurements into a designated Excel spreadsheet when a button is depressed on the caliper's surface. To reduce intraobserver error, dimensions of each tooth were recorded five times consecutively and reported as an average.

Only buccolingual crown dimensions were measured in this study because this dental measurement is unaffected by mixed samples of isolated teeth (i.e., not in situ) and those still imbedded in the jaw with neighboring teeth (i.e., in situ). Conversely, mesiodistal measurements are more challenging to measure because of tight interproximal spacing between teeth in-situ. Therefore, when trying to measure between interproximal spaces, there is a higher likelihood that resin or epoxy would be impressed by the tips of the calipers (and affect measurement). As the sample comprised both loose teeth and those imbedded in the jaw, this posed an increased chance that significant differences in measurements would reflect differences in applied pressure to the cast surface. Therefore, because this study used a mixed sample, measurements of mesiodistal differences on the tooth surfaces would not have been comparable. Further while comparative analyses between mesiodistal measurements for isolated teeth and in-situ dentitions was possible, overall small samples sizes available in the study did not allow for such a fine scale analysis of the cause of potential measure-

ment variation.

To test the first proposal, that buccolingual crown dimensions would not vary significantly between enamel, resin, and plaster, a paired t-test was used (following Kieser, 1990). A paired t-test examines mean differences between groups of data and is reported as a t-value and associated significance or p-value (in this case with a 95% confidence interval). To test the second proposal, that buccolingual measurements of plaster would be smaller, simple mean differences were compared as well as individual measurements to determine the proportion of cases in which plaster measured as smallest. Though small sample sizes were used in the present study, statistical power would not be improved by a larger sample because the variables are not dependent.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 presents the results of the paired t-test comparing buccolingual measurements on tooth enamel to plaster and resin casts. None of the pairings demonstrated a statistically significant difference in measurement at the 0.05 (95%) confidence interval. These results support our predictions that both types of casts can be used in lieu of the original teeth when conducting odontometric analyses, without significant error in measurement.

Table 2 reports the mean measurements for each material type. Measurements of the enamel were slightly larger on average than either plaster or resin, though plaster casts (as predicted) had the smallest mean of the three. Still, the differences between the overall means were minimal. Enamel measured, on average, 0.035 mm larger than resin and 0.043 mm larger than plaster. In the raw data, there were only three cases (13%) in which one or more of the replicas (plaster or resin) and/or origi-

Table 1. Paired t-test results comparing tooth enamel, plaster casts, and resin casts (indicates statistical significance).*

Material type	Ν	t	p-value
Enamel-Resin	23	0.8985	0.3787
Enamel-Plaster	23	1.0464	0.3068
Plaster-Resin	23	0.9751	0.3401

nal tooth (enamel) measured exactly the same. Interestingly, individal measurements of plaster casts were lowest in more than half the cases (52%), followed by enamel (22%), and resin (13%). Therefore, while plaster replicas produced some of the smallest measurements they were not exclusively the smallest measurements. Overall, despite measurable differences between individual teeth and in the combined sample, for all intents and purposes these measurements are identical.

The primary limitations of this study are sample size and composition. While the overall collection was estimated at 600 individuals, only a small portion of the collection resides in the U.S. Additionally, differential preservation, significant tooth wear, and other limiting variables in the roughly 60 individuals meant only 23 teeth were able to be measured. Additionally, this sample was one of convenience because it was available and nearby to the university where the study took place; therefore, these teeth may not reflect dental crown variability that could impact measurement. Similarly, as a modern human sample we are unable to conclude that such measurements are similarly accurate for other primate dentition, where there may be higher morphological variability. Finally, as noted in the materials and methods section, only buccolingual crown dimensions were gathered. While it was easy to measure isolated teeth in this setting, we were unable to replicate in situ dentition (i.e., teeth seated in the maxilla and/or mandible). Such measurements require a higher level of dexterity to measure because of potentially tight interproximal spacing. As such, there is always the possibility that heavier compression of the calipers on softer cast materials could have resulted in significant differences between measurements.

Given the minor differences between measurements, material choice can be determined by the researcher's preference because both casting materials provide accurate measurements. The researchers found that plaster casts were generally easier to measure than either enamel or resin casts because resin is translucent and has a smooth surface texture (like dental enamel) while plaster is opaque

Table 2. Mean measurements and proportions (*n*=23).

Material type	Mean (in mm)	Proportion (in %)
Enamel	10.242	22
Plaster	10.199	52
Resin	10.207	13

and slightly textured. Specifically, the texture of plaster makes it less likely that caliper tips slip during measurements. If cost is an issue, resins also tend to be more expensive than dental plasters.

Conclusions

The results of this study provide solid support for the continued use of either plaster or resin dental casts as proxies for original teeth, when needed. Future research might examine different tooth types, different primate and ancestral hominin species, and/or include mesiodistal measurements. The present study excluded incisors and premolars, therefore, additional research on measurement error rates for incisors or premolars could be examined to determine if the results were specific to canines and molars. Additionally, wide variation in tooth morphology and size across primate and ancestral hominin species could produce issues with measurement that should be considered.

REFERENCES

- Al-Mulla, A.A., & Murad, S.M. (2010). Accuracy and measurements made on digital and study models (a comparative study). *Mustansiria Dental Journal*, 7(1), 71-82.
- Bowes, M., Dear, W., Close, E., & Freer, T.J. (2017). Tooth width measurement using the Lythos digital scanner. *Australasian Orthodontic Journal*, 33(1), 73-81.
- Chee, W.W.L., & Donovan, T.E. (1992). Polyvinyl siloxane impression materials: a review of properties and techniques. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 68, 728-32.
- Hillson, S., FitzGerald, C., & Flinn, H. (2005). Alternative dental Measurements: Proposals and relationships with other measurements. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 126, 413-426.
- Hollinger, J.O., Lorton, L., Krantz, W.A., & Connelly, M. (1984). A clinical and laboratory comparison of irreversible hydrocoloid impression techniques. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 51, 304-309.
- Hunter, W.S., & Priest, W.R. (1960). Errors and discrepancies in measurement of tooth size. *Jour-*

nal of Dental Research, 39, 405-408.

- Junior, E.V.D.S., Kreve, S., & Carvalho, G.A.P.D. (2018). Analysis of linear dimensional change of different materials used for casting dental models: plaster type 4, nanocomposites carbon nanostructures, polyurethane resin and epoxy resin. *Journal of Dental Health, Oral Disorders & Therapy*, 9(2), 200-205.
- Keating, A.P., Knox, J., Bibb, R., & Zhurov, A.I. (2008). A comparison of plaster, digital and reconstructed study model accuracy. *Journal of Orthodontics*, 35(3), 191-201.
- Kelso, R.S., Hulsey, B.I., & Driscoll, K.R.D. (2020). Dental molding compounds and casts: use in non-laboratory environments. *Dental Anthropology*, 33(1), 17-22.
- Kieser, J.A. (1990). *Human adult odontometrics: the study of variation in adult tooth size*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Kumar, A.A., Phillip, A., Kumar, S., Rawat, A., Priya, S., & Kumaran, V. (2015). Digital model as an alternative to plaster model in assessment of space analysis. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Science*, 7(S2), S465-469.
- Pant, R., Juszczyk, A.S., Clark, R.K.F., & Radford, D.R. (2008). Long-term dimensional stability and reproduction of surface detail of four polyvinyl siloxane duplicating materials. *Journal* of Dentistry, 36(6), 456-461.
- Reuschl, R.P., Wieland, H., Stiesch, M., Wenzel, D., & Dittmer, M.P. (2016). Reliability and validity of measurements on digital study models and plaster models. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, 38(1), 22-26.
- Sedda, M., Casarotto, A., Raustia, A., & Borracchini, A. (2008). Effect of storage time on the accuracy of cast made from different irreversible hydrocolloids. *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, 9(4), 59-66.

Gaps in Information: What Missing Teeth Mean in Bioarchaeology

Laura E. Cirillo^{1*} and Eric J Bartelink²

Department of Anthropology, University of Nevada, Reno

2 Department of Anthropology, California State University, Chico

Keywords: Postmortem tooth loss, Dental pathology, Dental disease

ABSTRACT Previous bioarchaeological analysis of postmortem tooth loss (PMTL) has failed to recognize the potential influence of diseased dental tissue on tooth retention after death. Because tooth loss from a traditional taphonomy prospective is treated simply as missing data, demographic studies are potentially influenced by underestimations of disease prevalence. To investigate the association of tooth loss and dental disease, data on the pathological conditions observed in the tissues were collected on a sample of teeth from 771 individuals. By analyzing the evidence of disease in the bone and dental tissues immediately surrounding empty alveolar sockets suggestive of PMTL, trends in the presence of diseased tissue and retention of a tooth emerged. When compared to teeth retained after death, PMTL sockets were 15.3% less likely to retain neighboring teeth and 21.5% less likely to have neighboring teeth that showed no signs of carious or periapical lesions. The results suggest that the traditional explanation of susceptibility to loss due to the exposure and morphology of single-rooted, anterior teeth does not sufficiently explain the causes of PMTL in many cases. Rather, it would be more accurate to consider PMTL, in part, as an advanced symptom of dental disease when interpreting missing teeth in the bioarchaeological record.

Tooth enamel is the densest, most resilient tissue in Fancher, 2019). the human body (Hillson, 1996). As a result, human teeth typically can survive a wide range of environments, making them a rich source of information for bioarchaeologists gathering data on human behavior. Indeed, the importance of the dentition in bioarchaeology relates to the fact that it informs on human evolution, diet, growth and development, migration, identity, and disease (Scott and Turner, 1988; Hillson, 1996). Since the oral cavity has direct contact with both the external and internal environment, examination of oral disease in the dentition enhances our understanding of the differences in foodways between and within cultures. Dental disease provides significant information concerning ancient diet and cultural practices, as well as the influence of diet on pathological conditions of the dentition (Konig, 2000; Moynihan, 2005). Dental disease is sometimes used as a proxy for understanding oral health, but the inconsistency in defining the term *health* has led researchers to move away from the umbrella term that includes unknowable factors (e.g., psychosocial aspects) and instead focus on dental disease as indicated by specific conditions (e.g., dental caries; Pilloud and

Although teeth are valuable indicators of disease and life history, as well as a source of demographic and cultural data, several studies highlight the prevalence of sample bias arising from antemortem and postmortem teeth loss (e.g., Lukacs, 1995; Erdal and Duyar, 1999). Loose or missing teeth are extremely common in bioarchaeological samples, and a review of the literature has shown inconsistent methods in dealing with the consequent bias during data collection and analysis. The study of dental pathology is further complicated by the varying preservation rates of the multiple

*Correspondence to: Laura E. Cirillo Department of Anthropology University of Nevada, Reno E-mail: misslauracirillo@gmail.com

This paper was the recipient of the Albert A. Dahlberg prize awarded by the Dental Anthropology Association in 2021.

tissues that make up the dentition. Teeth are enclosed in some of the most fragile bone, the alveolar sockets of the maxilla and mandible. That brittle enclosure is susceptible to much more damage in archaeological contexts than the teeth themselves, and results in significant tooth loss after death.

This article explores the potential influence of missing teeth on the analysis of skeletal samples utilizing a statistical examination of patterns in dental pathology to infer what information may have been lost from teeth missing postmortem. We will focus on patterns found in various samples that exhibit missing teeth to potentially correct the underrepresentation of oral disease prevalence and will propose steps to correct possible biases from data loss.

Taphonomy of Tooth Loss

Tooth loss after death can occur through tissue loss during natural processes of decomposition. The conical shape of roots, especially of anterior teeth, makes teeth susceptible to coming loose from their sockets (Oliveira, Melani, Antunes, Freitas, and Galvão, 2000). The burial environment also affects decomposition of the soft and hard tissues and influences postmortem tooth loss (PMTL). In addition to tissue shrinkage and decomposition during skeletonization, handling of the remains during excavation, examination, transport, and storage can contribute to the dislodging and loss of teeth (Đurić, Rakočević, and Tuller, 2004; Oliveira et al., 2000). A common storage method for crania, for example, is to rest them on their mandibles for stability, which may damage maxillary teeth (Oliveira et al., 2000).

The postmortem interval, root morphology and number, and excavation methods all influence the rate of PMTL (Tibbett and Carter, 2008). Recent bioarchaeological literature emphasizes the need for careful excavation to ensure the complete recovery of the dentition. Because skulls are often recovered with teeth missing, it is important to maximize tooth recovery through careful excavation methods. Loose teeth that are outside of expected anatomical position may not be recognized during excavation, especially if burial context is not carefully examined (Đurić et al., 2004). The lack of standard excavation methods has affected the way human remains are analyzed in both bioarchaeological and forensic contexts (Evis, Hanson, and Cheetham, 2016; Haglund, 1997). Recent research suggests that a stratigraphic excavation method results in more evidence recovery than an arbitrary level method, especially in small element recovery rates and with fewer bones categorized as

unassociated (Evis et al., 2016, Tuller and Đurić, 2006). The recovery of disarticulated material, such as dental remains, is crucial for constructing biological profiles and paleoepidemiology research (Tuller and Đurić, 2006).

Pathology of Tooth Loss

Although PMTL in bioarchaeological contexts is often due to carelessness during excavation, the amount of effective soft tissue holding a tooth in its anatomical position also is an important factor to consider (Đurić et al., 2004). Periodontal disease influences the integrity of the periodontal ligament that helps anchors the cementum to the alveolar bone and the gingiva, and therefore contributes to the potential for teeth to be easily dislodged postmortem (Đurić et al., 2004; Meller, Urzua, Moncada, and von Ohle, 2009).

Oral disease can be introduced through several different pathways and can affect both the soft and hard tissues of the oral cavity. Teeth are at risk for loss through infection of the adjacent tissues or due to trauma to the enamel structure. The three main pathological conditions of interest are dental caries (carious lesions in the tooth), periapical lesions (lytic lesions in the alveolar bone), or occlusal tooth wear (loss of tooth enamel). These pathological conditions threaten the integrity of the tissues involved, therefore compromising the tooth as a unit. The most significant outcome, no matter the pathogenesis, is loss of the overall tooth.

Once a tooth is lost, both the soft tissue and the surrounding alveolar bone begin to heal. Within eight weeks of tooth loss, most of the socket is filled with remodeled bone (Larjava, 2012). This remodeling reaches the alveolar crest within three to four months (Shiroma, Terrado-Naguinlin and Zuerlein, 2019), and continues for around six months, with variation based on the location and presence of neighboring teeth (Larjava, 2012). But the successful healing of a single tooth socket does not spare the rest of the oral cavity from a similar fate. Typically, the interaction of the environment and the tissues of the mouth are not confined to one tooth alone; oral pathological conditions often have multiple causes, and more than one tooth may be affected by the same disease process. As the dental tissues respond and react at different rates, moving beyond an individual tooth and considering the implications of oral pathology creates a better sense of the physical indications of an individual's overall health. From there, population level analysis provides perspective on overall disease prevalence in a past community.

Although rarely recorded beyond an inventory,

tooth loss is often included in the larger interpretations of prehistoric dentitions (Costa, 1980; Lukacs, 2007). The loss of data from absent teeth is one of the most prevalent concerns in the bioarchaeology dental disease literature (Cucina and Tiesler, 2003). Potential underestimation of dental caries rates has been acknowledged in calculations of disease prevalence in samples with high rates of missing teeth (Lukacs, 1995; Littleton and Frolich, 1993). Analyzing when tooth loss took place (e.g., antemortem or postmortem), and the underlying factors that led to loss of that tooth, can be difficult to determine. Establishing when tooth loss occurred depends on the remaining alveolar bone and the degree to which it has remodeled.

Antemortem tooth loss (AMTL) of the permanent dentition is associated with advanced stages of dental disease. Antemortem tooth loss has several potential causes: caries, pulpitis, or periodontitis resulting from infection of the tooth and the surrounding tissues, or trauma (Costa, 1980; Hillson, 1996; Indriati and Buikstra, 2001; Larsen, 1995). The bias in data collection that results from AMTL has long been acknowledged, primarily in the context of studying rates of dental caries, but only a few researchers have attempted to correct for this loss in data (Hardwick, 1960; Brothwell, 1963; Powell, 1985; Kelley, Levesque, and Weidl, 1991; Lukacs, 1995; Gagnon and Wiesen, 2013). Most successfully, Lukacs proposed the "Caries Correction Factor," which derives from the prevalence of pulp exposure due to carious lesions versus attrition observed in the sample. By creating a sample- or population-specific equation for calibrating caries rates, the correction factor considers the relationship between carious lesions and AMTL (Lukacs, 1995). This focus on the effects and interpretations of AMTL has led to increased incorporation of tooth loss data in dental inventories and oral disease assessments (Nelson, Lukacs, and Yule, 1999; Cucina and Tiesler, 2003).

Postmortem tooth loss, on the other hand, has been much more ignored in the bioarchaeological literature and often treated as missing data. Although it is commonly acknowledged as a data collection bias, it is rarely addressed outside of the need for careful excavation when exhuming human remains (Tuller et al., 2004).

As discussed below, a tooth lost either antemortem or postmortem is often an indication of the subtle changes in the surrounding tissues, and consequently the disease of the overall oral cavity, rather than solely an unfortunate consequence of taphonomic processes. This study examines samples that exhibit different patterns of PMTL and explores how these patterns influence the underrepresentation of oral disease prevalence and how to correct for this bias.

Materials and Methods

The dentition of 771 individuals was inventoried and each tooth or empty tooth socket was assessed for wear and pathological conditions. The methods follow Bartelink's (2006) modification of the scoring system presented in Buikstra and Ubelaker's (1994) Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains, to provide consistency between the new data collected and Bartelink's 2004-2012 collection of dental data for the final pooled sample. When the tooth was present for observation, dental caries was then scored by location on the tooth and dental wear was recorded using the Smith (1984) system for anterior teeth and premolars and the Scott (1979) system for molars. When assessing teeth for dental caries, all potential lesions were probed using a dental pick and evaluated using a 10x magnification hand lens. For the context of this research, tooth condition was recorded as either present in occlusion, AMTL, or PMTL. All other cases were excluded. Neighboring tissues in this context were represented by an examination of the teeth immediately mesial and distal to the selected tooth and the alveolar bone that surrounded those teeth. In the case of the third molar, there was no distal tooth, so the second molar was its only neighbor. As dental disease is not often isolated to a single tooth, we hypothesize that the condition of the tooth was affected by the presence of carious lesions in the neighboring teeth and/or by the presence of periapical lesions in the neighboring tissues, given that periapical lesions can weaken the tissues holding a tooth within the alveolar bone.

To be marked as "observable," a tooth must have been present and in the occlusal plane, with greater than 2 mm of vertical enamel surrounding at least 50% crown circumference, eliminating overly worn and loose teeth. Subadults were removed from the original sample to ensure all individuals had permanent dentition. Individuals with tooth loss due to potential congenital absence (judged by examining tooth positions relative to tooth types) were also excluded for ease of comparison.

The pooled data set consisted of 771 adult individuals from Late Holocene (5000-200 BP) archaeological sites in pre-contact California, which was created using the dental inventories and pathology assessments. The sample population was represented by individuals from CA-ALA-307, -309, - 328, and -329, sites located near the shoreline of the San Francisco Bay, the ancestral homelands of the Ohlone tribe, and from CA-SAC-06, -43, -60 and SJO-68, -142, and -154, sites located in the Central Valley, the ancestral homelands of the Plains Miwok tribe. This research used a combination of new data collected for this study and previously collected data from Bartelink's (2006) dissertation research. All dentitions were examined at UC Berkeley's Phoebe A. Hearst Museum of Anthropology, where they are currently curated. Permission to collect data were provided by the museum's curator and NAGPRA committee.

After instances of PMTL with all observable neighboring teeth were isolated, the collection consisted of two groups: (i) a control group, where the primary tooth examined was present and in occlusion, and (ii) an experimental group, where the primary tooth examined was absent postmortem. Teeth were pooled from right and left sides of the mandible and maxilla. Tooth counts of the total sample are presented in Table 1.

Table 1.	Research	sample	size ł	by	tooth	and	condition

тоотн	# CONTROL (PRESENT)	# EXPERIMENTAL (PMTL)
M3	930	166
M2	815	20
M1	924	12
P4	854	118
P3	765	78
С	650	74
I2	522	113
I1	476	73

Results

The data analysis first considers whether instances of PMTL were more often associated with surrounding teeth or neighboring tissues that had already experienced AMTL. The presence or absence of the neighboring teeth was compared between each primary tooth that was present and in occlusion, and those recorded as PMTL. After organizing by tooth type (Table 2), the percentage of primary teeth with both neighboring teeth present was lower in every tooth group when the primary tooth being examined was lost postmortem. The smallest difference was a 2.3% decrease in third molars, and the largest difference was a 25.4% de-

crease in the fourth premolar. The average difference between having all neighboring teeth present between control teeth and PMTL teeth was a 15.3% decrease when all tooth types were considered. The visual representation (Figure 1) shows that the percent difference was especially high for posterior teeth. The average difference between anterior tooth types was a 12.3% decrease. The average in posterior teeth was a 17.1% decrease (20.8% when third molars were excluded).

When third molars were excluded for not meeting the criteria of having two neighboring teeth, instances of having one neighboring tooth present and the other absent were most often seen in the posterior teeth. In this analysis, greater than 20% of PMTL affected second molars, first molars, fourth premolars, and third premolars had one present and one absent neighbor. Although the change in percentage of teeth with two neighbors present was not as great between control and PMTL incisors, all anterior teeth showed a consistent decline in percent of present neighbors in each experimental group. Rather than a similarly high prevalence of the one present and one absent neighbor alternative, as was seen in posterior teeth, all the anterior teeth were more affected by AMTL on both sides.

Dental Caries and Neighboring Tissues

To understand the effects of specific dental pathological conditions on the prevalence of PMTL, an analysis of the neighboring tissues was also conducted to see how carious lesions and periapical lesions are associated with compromised surrounding tissues and overall tooth loss (Table 3). In this analysis, the control tooth was present without evidence of carious lesions, and the experimental tooth was again one in the same position that was lost postmortem.

Although all analyses showed that it is rare to have both neighboring teeth affected by the same pathological condition, in the case of carious lesions, there were two cases seen on canine teeth. Both control and experimental groups showed few differences when both neighboring teeth were present. The smallest difference in percent of all neighboring teeth with no caries was 0.8% in second molars, while the greatest difference was only 7.8% in first molars. Neighboring teeth of experimental groups for third premolars, canines, and both incisors all displayed no caries. Consistent with the literature on dental caries, posterior teeth were more affected than anterior teeth.

Most teeth showed a slight decrease in caries prevalence in the surrounding tissues in the experi-

Tooth	Condition	All neighboring teeth present (%)	1 tooth present, 1 tooth AMTL (%)	All neighboring teeth AMTL (%)
M3	Present	93.9	4.9	n/a
	PMTL	91.6	8.4	n/a
M2	Present	92.4	6.1	1.5
	PMTL	75.0	25.0	0.0
M1	Present	94.4	3.4	0.0
	PMTL	75.0	25.0	0.0
P4	Present	96.6	3.3	0.5
	PMTL	71.2	21.2	5.0
P3	Present	97.8	2.2	0.0
	PMTL	76.9	21.8	1.3
С	Present	97.5	1.8	0.6
	PMTL	78.4	9.5	12.2
I2	Present	97.7	2.3	0.0
	PMTL	87.6	7.1	5.3
I1	Present	99.6	0.4	0.0
	PMTL	91.8	4.1	4.1

Table 2. Prevalence of all three neighboring tissue categories.



Figure 1. Visual comparison of the prevalence of neighboring tissue categories.

Tooth	Condition	All neighboring	1 neighboring tooth	2 neighboring teeth
		teeth no caries (%)	with caries (%)	with caries (%)
M3	Present	95.3	4.7	n/a
	PMTL	98.0	2.0	n/a
M2	Present	92.6	7.4	0.0
	PMTL	93.3	6.7	0.0
M1	Present	96.7	3.3	0.0
	PMTL	88.9	11.1	0.0
P4	Present	97.2	2.8	0.0
	PMTL	96.4	3.6	0.0
P3	Present	98.5	1.5	0.0
	PMTL	100.0	0.0	0.0
С	Present	98.9	0.7	0.3
	PMTL	100.0	0.0	0.0
I2	Present	99.0	1.0	0.0
	PMTL	100.0	0.0	0.0
I1	Present	97.9	2.1	0.0
	PMTL	100.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3. Prevalence of all three dental caries inventory categories.

mental group. Control groups for third molars, second molars, third premolars, canines, and all incisors each had a higher percent of neighbors with carious lesions than their experimental counterparts. When isolated to show teeth that had one neighboring tooth present and one with AMTL, carious lesions were only observed in posterior teeth, consistent with existing literature. Caries rates were again higher in the control group, with a large increase from 80% present second molars with the observable neighbor displaying no caries, to 100% in the neighbors of the PMTL second molars. There was no caries-focused analysis of the difference between present and PMTL teeth with both neighbors absent because, unlike periapical lesions that affect tissue other than on the actual tooth, neighboring teeth necessarily must be present to observe dental caries lesions.

Periapical Lesions and Neighboring Tissues Following the framework of the caries-focused examination of neighboring teeth, the neighboring tissues (both tooth and alveolar socket) were examined for periapical lesions. Table 4 shows a comparison of the prevalence of periapical lesions when both neighboring teeth were present. The experimental group in every tooth group had more periapical lesions in the neighboring tissues than the control group, except for first molars which had a 1.0% increase in prevalence of no periapical lesions when the primary tooth was recorded as PMTL. The smallest change was a 0.4% decrease in central incisors, and the greatest change was the 18.4% decrease in lateral incisors. Generally, periapical lesions had a greater influence on non-molar teeth, which was particularly apparent for periapical lesions in teeth with one neighboring tooth present and one lost antemortem. Although there is no particular pattern in the posterior teeth (i.e., minimal differences observed between control and experimental groups), the anterior teeth and fourth premolars show a consistent decrease in unaffected neighboring tissue in the experimental groups (as depicted in Figure 2). The difference between all control and experimental groups shows an average 27% decrease (23.5-33.3%, min-max).

There was not enough data to see a pattern in instances where both neighboring teeth were absent, but the inability to gather enough instances of control data to provide a comparison may be telling. No data showed PMTL with two AMTL neighbors, with a maximum count of nine when divided by tooth number, and with no molars fitting these criteria. With few exceptions, this data set failed to show a present tooth that had two AMTL neighbors. Twelve instances were recorded in second molars, four in fourth premolars, and four in canines. All other teeth had no instances of this tooth loss pattern.

It is possible that some oral pathological conditions may not affect neighboring tissues but are more limited to the individual tooth. Given the expectation that missing neighbors will compromise the maintenance of the primary tooth being analyzed, especially in the case of periapical lesions that affect tissues of the jaw as well as the tooth, it is not surprising that two missing neigh-

Tooth	Condition	No PL on all	1 neighboring	2 neighboring
		neighboring teeth (%)	tooth with PL (%)	teeth with PL (%)
M3	Present	96.8	3.2	n/a
	PMTL	94.1	5.9	n/a
M2	Present	93.0	7.0	0.0
	PMTL	80.0	20.0	0.0
M1	Present	99.1	0.9	0.0
	PMTL	100.0	0.0	0.0
P4	Present	94.1	5.7	0.2
	PMTL	84.5	14.3	1.2
P3	Present	99.9	0.1	0.0
	PMTL	93.3	5.0	1.7
С	Present	99.1	0.9	0.0
	PMTL	98.3	1.7	0.0
I2	Present	99.2	0.8	0.0
	PMTL	80.8	15.2	4.0
I1	Present	98.9	1.1	0.0
	PMTL	98.5	1.5	0.0

Table 4. Prevalence of all three periapical lesion inventory categories.



Figure 2. Visual comparison of the prevalence of neighboring tissues exhibiting periapical lesions when one neighboring tooth is present and one is AMTL.

bors make the tissues less likely maintain a tooth. Thus, a large enough control group sample was unavailable for this analysis. This was the only situation where the experimental sample was larger than the control sample.

Affected Neighbors

Because multiple dental conditions can be present in the same individual (even on the same tooth) and would not be accounted for when analysis narrows to focus on a single pathological condition at a time, the scope of analysis was expanded to look at the effects of neighboring tissues affected by either caries or periapical lesions. This included AMTL as an indicator of the final stage of either pathological condition, where the tooth could not be maintained in life.

Figure 3 shows the percentage of neighboring teeth for each tooth position (control) and PMTL, divided by no affected neighbors, one affected neighbor, or both neighbors affected. To be categorized as "affected," a tooth or its surrounding tissue needed to display carious lesions, periapical lesions, be absent antemortem, or any combination of the three conditions. Because third molars only have one neighboring tooth, they again could only be recorded as having one affected neighbor or no affected neighbors.

There is a clear pattern in the visual comparison

that PMTL is often surrounded by "affected" or tissues without any indication of disease. In the control groups, the tooth had two unaffected neighbors an average of 92.0% of the time (Table 5). By contrast, the PMTL groups had only an average of only 70.5%. The smallest difference was between the control and PMTL groups for third molars (3.4%), while the largest difference was between the control and PMTL groups for first molars (36.0%).

The PMTL group with the highest percent of two unaffected neighbors was the central incisor. If neighboring tissues do not contribute to its loss in a significant way, then retention of the central incisor is the least influenced by tissue health and tooth loss must be attributed to other, taphonomic factors. This is perhaps the most common taphonomic loss due to the instability of a single location at the anterior of the mouth (exposing it to maximum pressure in burial and collection storage).

The difference in the distribution of one affected neighbor and both neighbors affected also showed an interesting pattern. Non-molar teeth had a much greater percentage of two affected neighbors. The average of non-molar PMTL with two affected neighbors was 9.0% and varied from 6.0 to 12.0%.

Although it is common to see a difference between third molars and the other molars because of the unique single-neighbor quality and differ-



Figure 3. Visual comparison of the prevalence of "affected" neighboring tissues.

Tooth	Condition	All neighboring teeth unaffected (%)	1 neighboring tooth affected (%)	2 neighboring teeth affected (%)
M3	Present	88.3	11.7	n/a
	PMTL	84.9	15.1	n/a
M2	Present	78.8	17.7	3.5
	PMTL	55.0	45.0	0.0
M1	Present	94.4	5.6	0.0
	PMTL	55.0	41.7	0.0
P4	Present	88.8	10.1	1.2
	PMTL	62.7	28.0	9.3
P3	Present	96.2	3.5	0.0
	PMTL	69.2	21.8	9.0
С	Present	95.5	3.5	1.0
	PMTL	75.7	12.2	12.2
I2	Present	96.6	3.1	0.3
	PMTL	69.9	21.2	8.8
I1	Present	97.1	2.1	0.8
	PMTL	88.7	5.6	5.6

Table 5. Prevalence of all three "affected" inventory categories

ences in eruption times, this is one of the only comparisons from this research that showed variation between the second and first molars. They are typically assumed to have similar physical characteristics that make them susceptible to caries, but also are multi-rooted teeth, providing them similar connective stability.

Discussion

The "neighboring tissues" test was designed to determine whether oral pathological conditions influenced PMTL versus solely taphonomic explanations. Patterns between control teeth and PMTL indicate that the integrity of the surrounding tissues affects the retention of a tooth after death. Although there were specific patterns associated with periapical lesions alone, the overall patterns indicated that dental caries does not affect the ability of the tissues to retain a tooth after death. When both neighboring teeth were present, most teeth showed a decrease in carious lesions in the surrounding teeth in the experimental (PMTL) group. This is contrary to the expectation that missing teeth would have more affected neighbors in the presence of any oral pathological condition. However, the experimental group presented more periapical lesions in the neighboring tissues than the control group. Periapical lesions tended to have a greater effect on non-molar teeth. Although there were specific patterns associated with the presence of

dental periapical lesions as a solitary pathological condition, dental caries lesions did not affect the ability for the tissues to retain a tooth after death.

To address tissues that have been impacted vs. not impacted by disease, rather than exclude compromising conditions by creating a false categorization that separates dental caries and periapical lesions, the data were lumped into an "affected tissues" test. This clear difference between control and experimental groups supports the research hypothesis that affected tissues are correlated with prevalence of PMTL.

Overall, the analyses conducted indicate that PMTL is often a consequence of pathological conditions rather than solely due to taphonomic damage. Thus, it should be possible to adjust data related to PMTL in the same way AMTL is corrected to generate more reliable caries rates. Using indicators of disease in the surrounding tissues, the presence of a pathological condition in the missing tooth can potentially be inferred, adjusting prevalence rates in a skeletal sample. As with the caries correction factors for AMTL, a sliding scale or population-specific method is needed. This would need to be calculated based on the integrity of the visible tissues and can only be accomplished if PMTL is considered a consequence of pathology, rather than simply the result of postmortem damage to the alveolar bone. More elaborate and precise observations need to be incorporated into the

inventory methodology.

Current inventory recommendations only consist of practicing extra care while analyzing the fragile alveolar bone and recording missing teeth as "absent, without alveolar bone remodeling, postmortem tooth loss". There is no current method for recording teeth that are loose and replaced in their crypt, other than recording them as present, which does not distinguish them from teeth that are maintained in the crypt by supporting tissues. A new category should be added to the inventory methods to reflect this difference when inventorying teeth.

If teeth are "absent through postmortem tooth loss" or "present but loose/removable from crypt without force", observations can be made to examine the empty crypt for signs of pathology in the tissue. A closer look during analysis using clues of the surrounding tissue may help indicate the health of the missing teeth, given our improved understanding of how pathological conditions specifically affect tooth retention. Collecting this data will permit a wider range of calculations of dental pathology prevalence for data sets.

REFERENCES

- Bartelink, E. (2006). Resource intensification in precontact Central California: A bioarchaeological perspective on diet and health patterns among huntergatherers from the Lower Sacramento Valley and San Francisco Bay (doctoral dissertation). Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.
- Brothwell, D. (1963). The macroscopic dental pathology of some earlier human populations. In: *Dental Anthropology*. D. Brothwell (Ed.). London: Pergamon Press.
- Buikstra, J.E, & Ubelaker, D.H. (1994). Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains. Proceedings of a Seminar at the Field Museum of Natural History (Arkansas Archeological Report Research Series).
- Costa, R. L. (1980). Age, sex, and antemortem loss of teeth in prehistoric Eskimo aamples from Point Hope and Kodiak Island, Alaska. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *53*, 579-587.
- Cucina, A., & Tiesler, V. (2008). Dental caries and antemortem tooth loss in the Northern Peten Area, Mexico: A biocultural perspective on social status differences among the classic Maya. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 122(1), 1-10.
- Đurić, M., Rakočević, Z., & Tuller, H. (2004). Factors affecting postmortem tooth loss. *Journal of Forensic Science*, 49(6).

- Erdal, Y. S., & Duyar, I. (1999). A new correction procedure for calibrating dental caries frequency. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 108(2), 237-240.
- Evis, L.H., Hanson, I., & Cheetham, P.N. (2016). An experimental study of two grave excavation methods: Arbitrary Level Excavation and Stratigraphic Excavation. STAR: Science & Technology of Archaeological Research, 2(2), 177-191.
- Gagnon, C.M. & Wiesen, C. (2013). Using general estimating equations to analyze oral health in the Moche Valley of Peru. *International Journal* of Osteoarchaeology, 23, 557-572.
- Haglund, W.D. (1997). Scattered skeletal human remains: search strategy considerations for locating missing teeth. In: *Forensic Taphonomy: The Postmortem Fate of Human Remains*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Hardwick, J.L. (1960). The incidence and distribution of caries throughout the ages in relation to the Englishman's diet. *British Dental Journal*, 108, 9.
- Hillson, S. (1996). *Dental Anthropology*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- Hillson, S. (2005). *Teeth* (Second Edition). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Indriati, E. & Buikstra, J.E. (2001). Cocoa chewing in prehistoric Coastal Peru: Dental evidence. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 114 (3), 242-257.
- Kelley, M. A., Levesque, D.R., & Weidl, E. (1991). Contrasting patterns of dental disease in five early northern Chilean groups. In: Advances in Dental Anthropology. M. A. Kelley & C. S. Larsen (Eds.). New York: Wiley-Liss.
- Konig, K. G. (2000). Diet and oral health. *International Dental Journal*, 50(3), 162-174.
- Larjava, H. (2012). Oral Wound Healing: Cell Biology and Clinical Management. Wiley-Blackwell: West Sussex.
- Larsen, C.S. (1995). Biological changes in human populations with agriculture. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 24, 185-213.
- Littleton, J. & Frolich B. (1993). Fish-eaters and farmers: Dental pathology in the Arabian Gulf. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 92, 427-447.
- Luby, E.M. (2004). Shell mounds and mortuary behavior in the San Francisco Bay Area. *North American Archaeologist*, 25(1), 1-33.
- Lukacs, J.R. (1995). The 'Caries Correction Factor': A new method of calibrating dental caries rates to compensate for antemortem loss of teeth. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, *5*, 151-

156.

- Lukacs, J. R. (2007). Dental trauma and antemortem tooth loss in prehistoric Canary Islanders: prevalence and contributing factors. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, 17(2), 157-173.
- Moynihan, P. (2005). The interrelationship between diet and oral health. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 64, 571-580.*
- Meller, C., Urzua, I., Moncada, G. & von Ohle, C. (2009). Prevalence of oral pathologic findings in an ancient pre-Columbian archaeologic site in the Atacama Desert. *Oral Diseases*, 15, 287-294.
- Nelson, G.C., Lukacs, J.R., & Yule, P. (1999). Dates, caries, and early tooth loss during the Iron Age of Oman. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 108(3), 333-343.
- Oliveira, R.N., Melani, R.F.N., Antunes, J.L.F., Freitas, E.R., & Galvão, L.C.C. (2000). Postmortem tooth loss in human identification processes. *The Journal of Forensic Odonto-Somatology*, 18 (2), 32-36.
- Pilloud, M. A. & Fancher, J.P. (2019). Outlining a definition of oral health within the study of human skeletal remains. *Dental Anthropology*, 32(2), 3-11.
- Powell, M. L. (1985). The analysis of dental wear and caries for dietary reconstruction. In R. I. Gilbert & J. H. Mielke (Eds.) *The Analysis of Prehistoric Diets* (pp. 307-338). Orlando: Academic Press.
- Scott, G.R. & Turner II, C.G. (1988). Dental anthropology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 17, 99-126.
- Scott, E.C. (1979). Dental wear scoring technique. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 51(2), 213-217.
- Seo, B.M., Miura, M., Gronthos, S., Bartold, P.M., Batouli, S., Brahim, J., Young, M., Gehron Robey, P., Wang, C., & Shi, S. (2004). Investigation of multipotent postnatal stem cells from human periodontal ligament. *Lancet*, 364, 149-55.
- Shiroma, C.Y., Terrado-Naguinlin, P.M., & Zuerlein, C.L. (2019). Healing alveolar sockets in skeletonized remains: A report on cases from one month to twelve months post-extraction. *Forensic Science International*, 301, e38-e43.
- Smith, B.G., & Knight, J.K. (1984). An index for measuring the wear of teeth. *British Dental Journal*, 156(12), 435-8.
- Tibbett, M. & Carter, D.O. (2008). Soil Analysis in Forensic Taphonomy: Chemical and Biological Effects of Buried Human Remains. CRC Press: Boca

Raton.

- Tuller, H. & Đurić, M. (2006). Keeping the pieces together: Comparison of mass grave excavation methodology. *Forensic Science International*, 156, 192-200.
- Tuller, H., Durio, M., & Rakočević, Z. (2004). Factors affecting postmortem tooth loss. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49(6), 1313-1318.

Dental Anthropology

Volume 35, Issue 01, 2022

Data Set	
A Contextualized Enamel Growth Rate and Thickness Data Set Collected from British Populations Spanning the Past 2,000 Years Christopher Aris	3
	-
Tachnical Nata	
l echnical Note	
Assessing Error in Human Dental Measurements: A Comparison of Resin Casts, Plaster Casts, and Dental Enamel	
Amelia R. Hubbard, Natasha Wilson, Guiseppe Vercellotti	16
Research Article	
Gaps in Information: What Missing Teeth Mean in Bioarchaeology	
Laura E. Cirillo and Eric J. Bartelink	21

Published at: The University of Nevada, Reno 1664 North Virginia, Reno, Nevada, 89557-0096 U.S.A. The University of Nevada, Reno is an EEO/AA/Title IX/Section 504/ADA employer